

# UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

# CODE OF PRACTICE ON PLAGIARISM



# Index of points

- 1. Preamble
- 2. Principles
- 3. Guidance
- 4. Detection of Plagiarism
- 5. The Plagiarism Meeting
- 6. Categorisation of Plagiarism
- 7. Consequences of a finding that Plagiarism has occurred
- 8. Penalties for Moderate Plagiarism
- 9. College Misconduct Committee
- 10. Group Based Work or Study and Collusion



# 1. Preamble

This Code of Practice applies to all Registered Students, students on Leave of Absence, Students with Thesis Awaited Status, External Resit Students and Graduands. Herein the term 'Student' will be used throughout the Code.

# 2. Principles

- 2.1 Plagiarism occurs where a Student claims as their own, intentionally or by omission, work which was not done by that Student. This may occur in a number of ways e.g. copying and pasting material, adapting material and self-plagiarism. Plagiarism also includes a Student deliberately claiming to have done work submitted for assessment which was never undertaken by that Student, including submitting work written by a third party and fabricating data.
- 2.2 Plagiarism may arise in a number of differing ways within an academic context, including the copying of the work of another Student, the reproduction of course materials, notes or data, the cutting and pasting of material derived from the World Wide Web and the direct transcription of the contents of a textbook or journal. It may include adaptation of existing texts.
- 2.3 Plagiarism also includes a student deliberately claiming to have done work submitted by the Student for assessment which was never undertaken by that Student, including the buying of essays and fabrication of data. This constitutes a deliberate attempt to deceive the marker.
- 2.4 Each School will have in place a nominated member of Staff (the Plagiarism Contact) who will be the first point of contact for Staff within the School where plagiarism is suspected or detected.
- 2.5 All Students are deemed to have accepted the provisions of this Code of Practice

# 3. Guidance

- 3.1 Each School will distribute written guidance, in programme materials and through other media as appropriate, to Students on starting their programme as to what constitutes adequate referencing and plagiarism, particularly within a programme specific context.
- 3.2 The School must ensure there is provision of guidance on what constitutes plagiarism during the induction process.
- 3.3 Schools must seek to develop Students referencing skills throughout the duration of their studies.
- 3.4 Where a School makes use of a formal Learning Agreement on the avoidance of plagiarism, Students should be advised specifically of the requirements relating to this, prior to completion of the agreement between the Student or and the University.
- 3.5 Schools may also use assignment cover sheets within which Students certify that their



submitted work has not been plagiarised.

- 3.6 Where a Programme makes use of group-based work or study, any methodology to be used for the purposes of ultimately disaggregating the individual and the collective inputs for assessment purposes must be specified by the School in advance. Particular attention should be paid to ensuring that all Students involved understand the boundaries between legitimate collaboration and plagiarism.
- 3.7 Proof reading and correction of English (for theses written in English) either by a member of the supervisory team or by a professional is acceptable. Such correction may involve the rewriting of some of the text originating from the Student for the purposes of clarifying the Student's written English. Rewriting of text with the purpose of improving the Student's research arguments or contributing new arguments is <u>not</u> acceptable. Provision by any third party (including the supervisor(s)) of any sections of the thesis which have not originated from the Student is unacceptable and will be deemed to be plagiarism.

#### 4. Detection of Plagiarism

- 4.1 The University reserves the right to use all legitimate means at its disposal to detect plagiarism where it arises. Any work required to make decisions on academic progress will be liable to scrutiny in order to identify any plagiarised element.
- 4.2 Where a School uses plagiarism detection software, it will advise the Students concerned in advance as to how this process will be undertaken and of the particular submission requirements (if any) involved.
- 4.3 Schools must ensure that the appropriate procedures are in place to review, monitor and quality-assure reports generated by any software detection system prior to any related referral for further action.
- 4.4 If a member of Staff considers that a Student's work contains plagiarism they should notify the School Plagiarism Officer immediately. If an External Examiner considers that a Student's work contains plagiarism, the External Examiner should notify either the Head of School (for taught modules) or the Internal Examiner (for research projects or theses) immediately.
- 4.5 Where plagiarism is suspected in a project or thesis submitted by a Student on a postgraduate research Programme of Study, examination of the project or thesis must be suspended until it is established whether plagiarism has occurred or not.

#### 5 The Plagiarism Meeting

- 5.1 The Student must be invited by way of a 'Notification Letter' (which may be sent by post and/or email) to a Plagiarism Meeting. A template Notification Letter is available for use via the staff Plagiarism web pages **which should normally be used.** The Notification Letter must:
- 5.1 .1 state that the Student is suspected of plagiarism;



- 5.1 .2 set out a summary of the suspected plagiarism which must be sufficient enough to enable the Student to understand the allegation of plagiarism and which may but which need not include a copy of the plagiarism detection software report, if appropriate;
- 5.1 .3 set out the Student's right to be accompanied by a 'Friend' as defined in Regulation 1; and
- 5.1 .4 set out the Student's right to respond in writing to the allegation of plagiarism within 5 University working days of receipt (or pro rata reasonable adjustment if abroad) which may include a written explanation and should indicate whether the Student agrees to attend the Plagiarism Meeting.
- 5.2 A Plagiarism Meeting should normally be convened within not less than 5 University working days and not more than 10 University working days from the date of the Notification Letter.

In the case of Students registered on a Distance-Learning programme or studying at an overseas campus, such meetings may be conducted remotely.

- 5.3 The Student should be encouraged to attend the Plagiarism Meeting. Where a Student does not wish or is unable to attend the School must use an appropriate means of communicating with the Student in lieu of the Plagiarism Meeting, which may include exchanges of emails, in order to obtain a detailed response to the allegation of plagiarism.
- 5.4 If a Student does not respond to a Notification Letter in accordance with paragraph 5.1.4 of this Code of Practice or fails to attend a Plagiarism Meeting having indicated that they will do so, the member(s) of staff shall proceed with the Plagiarism Meeting in the absence of the Student. A written record of the decision to proceed in the absence of the Student should be made, setting out the grounds on which this decision was taken.
- 5.5 If a Student is unable to attend a Plagiarism Meeting the Head of School or nominee or the Plagiarism Officer may, if satisfied that the Student is unable to attend for good reason, decide:
- 5.5 .1 that any decision on progression or degree outcome must be suspended until the Student is available to attend; or
- 5.5 .2 with the consent of the Student, that the matter may proceed by appropriate means of communicating with the Student in lieu of the Plagiarism Meeting; or
- 5.5 .3 with the consent of the Student and when the Head of School or nominee/School Plagiarism Officer is satisfied that the Student has submitted a full and detailed written response, that the Plagiarism Meeting may proceed in the absence of the Student.
- 5.6 The Plagiarism Meeting should normally be conducted jointly by two members of Academic Staff appointed by the Head of School (which will normally include the Plagiarism Officer) although Plagiarism Meetings may proceed with one member of staff (normally the Plagiarism Officer) present. During the Plagiarism Meeting, the reasons for suspecting that



plagiarism has taken place should be given and the Student should be invited to explain their\_position and, if necessary, to refute the allegation.

- 5.7 The member(s) of staff conducting the Plagiarism Meeting will consider the allegation and the Student's response and will reach a decision as to whether plagiarism has been found to have taken place. If plagiarism is found to have occurred the member(s) of staff will proceed to assign a category as set out below and, if appropriate, to apply a penalty.
- 5.8 The Plagiarism Meeting may be adjourned to another date if further evidence or clarification is required.
- 5.9 If it is found that no plagiarism has occurred the Student will be informed accordingly and no further action will be taken.
- 5.10 The Student may be advised of the decision and any penalty during the Plagiarism Meeting unless the member(s) of staff require more time for consideration in which case the decision may be issued in writing normally within 5 University working days of the meeting.
- 5.11 Whether or not the Student is advised of the decision during the Plagiarism Meeting, normally within 5 University working days of the Plagiarism Meeting the Student will be sent an 'Outcome Letter' setting out the key points raised at the meeting, the reasoning behind the decision and confirming the outcome. A template Outcome Letter is available for use via the staff Plagiarism web pages, **which should normally be used.** This letter will serve as a record of the Plagiarism Meeting and should be retained on the Student's file by the School.

### 6. Categorisation of Plagiarism

- 6.1 When poor academic practice or plagiarism has been found to have occurred the member(s) of staff conducting the Plagiarism Meeting must assign the plagiarism to one of the three categories set out below. A number of factors will be taken into account in this categorisation, including
- 6.1 .1 the academic level of the Student;
- 6.1 .2 the proportion of the assignment affected; and
- 6.1 .3 any previous recorded instance of plagiarism by that Student;
- 6.1 .4 whether there is a reasonable expectation that the Student should have learned appropriate referencing skills and received sufficient guidance with regard to the attribution of source material.
- 6.2 <u>Serious Plagiarism</u>

Serious plagiarism arises where there has been an occurrence of reasonably extensive quantities of unattributed or incorrectly attributed copying and/or self-plagiarism. Serious plagiarism also arises where there has been an attempt to deceive the marker by the Student passing off as their own, work which they have not done; including submitting work that has in full or in part been produced by a third party. This category also includes a case



of repeated moderate plagiarism.

#### 6.3 <u>Moderate Plagiarism</u>

Moderate plagiarism is plagiarism that arises from failing to follow guidelines on what is regarded as a Student's own work. The determination that a suspected case of plagiarism is moderate plagiarism should therefore normally be informed by the suspected plagiarism being most likely to derive from ignoring conventions and acceptable academic practice. This category includes limited collusion, auto plagiarism (submission of an assignment identical or closely related to one submitted at an earlier point and for which a mark has been received) or a moderate inclusion of un-attributed or incorrectly attributed copying. It also includes repeated poor academic practice. It is likely cases of plagiarism at Levels I and H will generally be at least moderate plagiarism.

### 6.4 <u>Poor Academic Practice</u>

Poor academic practice through lack of following academic conventions by a Student who may not yet be familiar with the requirements of University level assessment. This category would normally be restricted to Level F or C studies and would include any first offence amounting to inadequate referencing, inclusion of a small amount of un-attributed or incorrectly attributed material or of text that is so similar to the original that it is effectively copied from the original source<sup>1</sup>.

# 7 Consequences of a finding that Plagiarism has occurred

#### 7.1 Poor Academic Practice

Where poor academic practice has been found to have occurred, the member(s) of staff must ensure the Student is aware of what they have done wrong and has been given appropriate instruction on how to avoid the problem in future. A record should be kept by the School and the piece of work may be required to be re-submitted, but no further measures shall be taken.

#### 7.2 Moderate Plagiarism

Where moderate plagiarism has been found to have occurred one of the penalties set out in paragraph 8 of this Code of Practice will be applied.

#### 7.3 Serious Plagiarism

When serious plagiarism has been found to have occurred, the case will become a student conduct case under section 8 of the Regulations. The matter must be treated as a non-summary case and the procedures in non-summary hearings set out in the Code of Practice on Misconduct and Fitness to Practise Committee shall be followed. The College Misconduct Committee will hear the case afresh and in full. The member of Academic Staff designated the School Investigating Officer may act as the Investigating Officer and/or Case

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Extracted and synthesised material from cited references should be written by students in their own words with correct attribution; this practice is sometimes described as 'paraphrasing' in guidance on plagiarism.



Presenter for the purpose of the student conduct case.

#### 8 Penalties for Moderate Plagiarism

8 Where moderate plagiarism has been found to have occurred, one of the following penalties shall be applied:

### Taught Programmes or Modules

- 8.1 .1 For Students on taught programmes of study, or Students on research-based programmes of study, where moderate plagiarism has been found to have occurred on a taught module taken as part of that programme:
  - (a) The assessment mark obtained to stand.
  - (b) Resubmission of the piece of work in question, with the resultant mark being capped at the lowest possible grade that would signify a minimum pass within the relevant pass structure.
  - (c) The mark obtained in the assessment may be downwardly adjusted to an appropriate level (reflecting the actual contribution of the Student), including zero. Should this lead to failure of the module, a further attempt at passing the module shall be permitted, with the mark awarded capped at the pass mark.
  - (d) The mark obtained in the assessment may be downwardly adjusted to an appropriate level (reflecting the actual contribution of the Student), including zero with no opportunity to resit.
  - (e) The mark obtained in the module may be downwardly adjusted to an appropriate level (reflecting the actual contribution of the Student <del>or</del>), including zero with no opportunity of re-assessment permitted
  - .2 If the penalty applied results in a student failing the programme of study, the case shall be referred to the College Misconduct Committee. The matter should be treated as a non-summary case and the procedures in non-summary hearings set out in the Code of Practice on Misconduct and Fitness to Practise Committee shall be followed. The College Misconduct Committee will hear the case afresh and in full.

#### **Research Elements**

- 8.2 For Students on research programmes of study, where moderate plagiarism has been found to have occurred within work submitted for assessment for progression, but does not receive a mark, one further opportunity to submit the work will be permitted at a date specified in the 'Outcome Letter'
- 8.3 For Students on research-based programmes of study, where moderate plagiarism has been found to have occurred within the research element, the Student may submit their dissertation/thesis for the original qualification with the offending sections/data removed within 20 University working days of the date of the Outcome Letter. The Plagiarism Officer will decide whether any further work should be undertaken, and may instruct that limited additional work can be carried out, for example to finish sentences that have been left incomplete due to the removal of plagiarised sections. Submission of the dissertation/thesis



revised in accordance with this paragraph will not be considered an additional submission under Regulation 7.4.3.

# 9 College Misconduct Committee

- 9.1 Students who do not wish to accept the outcome determined at the Plagiarism Meeting may request for the case to be heard afresh and in full by a College Misconduct Committee. The Committee will hear the case afresh and in full in accordance with the Code of Practice on Misconduct and Fitness to Practise Committee. Where the Committee finds on the balance of probabilities that plagiarism has occurred, it will proceed to assign the plagiarism to one of the three categories. The full range of sanctions in this Code of Practice and the Code of Practice on Misconduct and Fitness to Practise Committee will be available depending on the category assigned.
- 9.2 The Student's request for the case to be heard by the College Misconduct Committee must be submitted in writing within 5 University working days of the date of the Outcome Letter to the School Plagiarism Officer.
- 9.3 The School Plagiarism Officer may act as the 'Investigating Officer' and/or Case Presenter for the purposes of the Code of Practice on Misconduct and Fitness to Practise Committee.

### 10. Group-based Work or Study and Collusion

10.1 Where an allegation of plagiarism involves group-based work or study, or involves suspected collusion, and it is unclear where responsibility for the suspected plagiarism lies, a Plagiarism Meeting should be held individually with each Student. It may be necessary to conduct the meeting with some or all members of the group of Students involved with the suspected plagiarism present. Having investigated the circumstances, the School would expect then to be in a position to be able to differentiate between members of the group's involvement in the plagiarism and to be able to avoid the imposition of a group penalty.

In the case of Students registered on a Distance-Learning programme or studying at an overseas campus, such meetings may be conducted remotely.

10.2 In cases of collusion, where a copy of a Student's work is being shown to another Student or as part of the evidence for suspected plagiarism, care must be taken to make such copies anonymous wherever possible.



### **Appendix A: Interpreting the Code of Practice**

- A.1 For the purposes of the Code of Practice on Plagiarism, any department within Corporate Services delivering a programme of the University may act as the School in respect of that programme.
- A.2 Where a programme is delivered within Corporate Services, and the staff teaching upon that programme are Academic Related rather than Academic members of Staff, any Academic Related member of Staff teaching upon that programme may act as an Academic member of Staff for sections 4-8 of this Code of Practice, including being designated as Investigating Officer.
- A.3 In Medical Education, for the purposes of this Code of Practice only, Programme Directors will function as Heads of School.
- A.4 The Code of Practice on Plagiarism for Staff can be found at https://intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/hr/documents/public/plagiarism-staff.pdf.